arXiv:1604.01470v5 [math.DS] 15 Dec 2016

The topological property of the irregular sets on the lengths of basic intervals in beta-expansions

Lixuan Zheng†, Min Wu† and Bing Li†,*

† *Department of Mathematics*
South China University of Technology
Guangzhou 510640, P.R. China
E-mails: z.lixuan@mail.scut.edu.cn, wumin@scut.edu.cn and scbingli@scut.edu.cn

Abstract. Let $\beta > 1$ be a real number. A basic interval of order n is a set of real numbers in $(0, 1]$ having the same first n digits in their β-expansion which contains $x \in (0, 1]$, denote by $I_n(x)$ and write the length of $I_n(x)$ as $|I_n(x)|$. In this paper, we prove that the extremely irregular set containing points $x \in [0, 1]$ whose upper limit of $\frac{-\log_\beta |I_n(x)|}{n}$ equals to $1 + \lambda(\beta)$ is residual for every $\lambda(\beta) > 0$, where $\lambda(\beta)$ is a constant depending on β.

Key words and phrases beta-expansion; irregular set; extremely irregular number; residual

1 Introduction

Fix a real number $\beta > 1$. Define the *β-transformation* $T_\beta : (0, 1] \to (0, 1]$ by

$$T_\beta x = \beta x - \lceil \beta x \rceil + 1,$$

where $\lceil x \rceil$ stands for the smallest integer no less than x. It is well known [18] that, by the iteration of T_β, every $x \in (0, 1]$ can be written as:

$$x = \frac{\epsilon_1(x, \beta)}{\beta} + \cdots + \frac{\epsilon_n(x, \beta) + T_\beta^n x}{\beta^n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon_n(x, \beta)}{\beta^n}, \tag{1.1}$$

where, for each $n \geq 1$,

$$\epsilon_n(x, \beta) = \lceil \beta T_\beta^{n-1} x \rceil - 1$$

* Corresponding author
2010 AMS Subject Classifications: 11K55, 28A80, 11B05

is called the *n-th digit* of x. We identify x with its digit sequence

$$\epsilon(x,\beta) := (\epsilon_1(x,\beta),\ldots,\epsilon_n(x,\beta),\ldots)$$

and the digit sequence $\epsilon(x,\beta)$ is said to be the *β-expansion* of x. Sometimes we write $\epsilon_n(x)$ instead of $\epsilon_n(x,\beta)$ if β is fixed.

For an admissible word $(\epsilon_1,\ldots,\epsilon_n)$, i.e., a prefix of the digit sequence for some $x \in (0,1]$, we define the *basic interval of order n* which is denoted by $I(\epsilon_1,\ldots,\epsilon_n)$ as

$$I(\epsilon_1,\ldots,\epsilon_n) := \{x \in (0,1] : \epsilon_j(x,\beta) = \epsilon_j, \text{ for all } 1 \le j \le n\}.$$

We write the basic interval of order n containing x as $I_n(x)$. A simple fact of the basic interval $I_n(x)$ is that it is a left-open and right-closed interval, see Lemma 2.2 for more details. We write the length of $I_n(x)$ as $|I_n(x)|$.

The β-expansion of the unit 1 has played an important role not only in researching the dynamical properties of the orbit of 1, but also in estimating the length of $I_n(x)$ ([4], see also [10, 11]). Let

$$1 = \frac{\epsilon_1^*}{\beta} + \cdots + \frac{\epsilon_n^*}{\beta^n} + \cdots$$

be the β-expansion of the unit 1. For each integer $n \ge 1$, denote by $t_n = t_n(\beta)$ the maximal length of consecutive zeros just follow the n-th digit of the β-expansion of 1. That is,

$$t_n = t_n(\beta) := \max\{k \ge 0 : \epsilon_{n+1}^* = \epsilon_{n+2}^* = \cdots = \epsilon_{n+k}^* = 0\}. \tag{1.2}$$

Define

$$\lambda(\beta) = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\Gamma_n(\beta)}{n}, \tag{1.3}$$

where

$$\Gamma_n = \Gamma_n(\beta) := \max_{1\le k\le n} t_k(\beta).$$

The estimation on the lengths of basic intervals is very useful to study the fractals in β-expansion such as the multifractal spectra for the recurrence rates of β-transformations [2], the Diophantine properties of the orbits in β-expansions [4] and so on. Fan and Wang [7] established a relationship between the length of $I_n(x)$ and the β-expansion of 1 and gave a way to calculate the length of $I_n(x)$, see Theorem 2.4 for more details. Furthermore, they introduced and studied the quantities which describe the growth of the length of $I_n(x)$. More precisely, for any $x \in (0,1]$, define the lower and upper density at x for β-expansion respectively, i.e.,

$$\underline{D}(x) = \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{-\log_\beta |I_n(x)|}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{D}(x) = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{-\log_\beta |I_n(x)|}{n}.$$

It is known [10] that for any $x \in (0,1]$, we have

$$\underline{D}(x) = 1,\ 1 \le \overline{D}(x) \le 1 + \lambda(\beta). \tag{1.4}$$

Shannon-McMillan-Breiman Theorem applied to Parry measure [17] gives that $\underline{D}(x) = \overline{D}(x) = 1$ for Lebesgue almost all $x \in (0,1]$. A special case is $\lambda(\beta) = 0$, we obtain that $\underline{D}(x) = \overline{D}(x) = 1$ which indicates that the limit of $\frac{-\log_\beta |I_n(x)|}{n}$ exists for all $x \in (0,1]$. The set of such β with $\lambda(\beta) = 0$ in $(1, +\infty)$ is of full Lebesgue measure [11]. Then people turn to focus on the exceptional set with respect to the upper density for the case $\lambda(\beta) > 0$. From now on, unless other indicated, we only consider the case $\lambda(\beta) > 0$. For any $1 < \delta \le 1 + \lambda(\beta)$, define

$$E_\delta = \{x \in (0,1] : \overline{D}(x) = \delta\},$$

which is a Lebesgue null set. The points in E_δ with $1 < \delta \le 1 + \lambda(\beta)$ are said to be *δ-irregular*. Fan and Wang [7] showed that

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}} E_\delta = \frac{\lambda(\beta) + 1 - \delta}{\delta \cdot \lambda(\beta)}, \tag{1.5}$$

for every $1 < \delta \le 1 + \lambda(\beta)$, where $\dim_{\mathrm{H}}$ denotes the Hausdorff dimension.

One natural question is how large the sets E_δ are in the sense of topology. Motivated by this, we devote to showing that the extremely irregular set $E_{1+\lambda(\beta)}$ is residual, see Theorem 1.2 for more details.

To state our results, we begin by introducing some notation. For all $x \in (0,1]$, let $A(D(x))$ denote the set of accumulation points of $\frac{-\log_\beta |I_n(x)|}{n}$ as $n \nearrow \infty$, that is,

$$A(D(x)) = \left\{ y \in [1, 1+\lambda(\beta)] : \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{-\log_\beta |I_{n_k}(x)|}{n_k} = y \text{ for some } \{n_k\}_{k\ge1} \nearrow \infty \right\}.$$

For an integer $n \ge 1$, denote by $k_n^*(x)$ the largest length of the suffixes of $(\epsilon_1(x,\beta), \dots, \epsilon_n(x,\beta))$ agreeing with the prefix of the digit sequence of the unit 1. In other words,

$$k_n^*(x) = k_n^*(x,\beta) := \inf\{k \ge 0 : (\epsilon_{k+1}(x,\beta), \dots, \epsilon_n(x,\beta)) = (\epsilon_1^*, \dots, \epsilon_{n-k}^*)\}. \tag{1.6}$$

Define

$$\tau(x) = \tau(x,\beta) := \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{t_{n-k_n^*(x)}}{n}. \tag{1.7}$$

where t_n is defined as (1.2). Since $n - k_n^*(x) \le n$ and by the definition of Γ_n, we know that $t_{n-k_n^*(x)} \le \Gamma_n$ which implies $\tau(x) \le \lambda(\beta)$ for any $x \in (0,1]$.

We shall prove that the set $A(D(x))$ is always a closed interval. More precisely, we will show:

Theorem 1.1 *Let $x \in (0,1]$. Then, $A(D(x)) = [1, 1 + \tau(x)]$.*

The above theorem indicates that the set E_δ can be written as $\{x \in (0,1] : A(D(x)) = [1,\delta]\}$. An extreme case is that $\delta = 1 + \lambda(\beta)$ which means that the accumulation points of $\frac{-\log_\beta |I_n(x)|}{n}$ can

contain any possible value in $[1, 1+\lambda(\beta)]$. So the points in $E_{1+\lambda(\beta)}$ is said to be extremely irregular and for convenience, we write $E = E_{1+\lambda(\beta)}$.

The following main theorem illustrates that the extremely irregular set E is large from a topological viewpoint for every $\lambda(\beta) > 0$. An important point we should notice is that not only the Lebesgue measure of E is 0, but also its Hausdorff dimension is 0. This result is somewhat similar to Olsen's work [14] on the extremely non-normal number.

Theorem 1.2 *Let $\beta > 1$ with $\lambda(\beta) > 0$. Then the set E is residual, in other words, $[0,1] \setminus E$ is of the first category. In particular, the set E is of the second category.*

Noting that $E \cap E_\delta = \emptyset$ for all $1 < \delta < 1 + \lambda(\beta)$, the following corollary is immediate.

Corollary 1.3 *Let $\beta > 1$ with $\lambda(\beta) > 0$, then E_δ is of the first category for every $1 < \delta < 1+\lambda(\beta)$.*

Furthermore, Theorem 1.2 implies that $\overline{E} = [0,1]$ where $\overline{E}$ denote the closure of E, so $\dim_{\mathrm{B}} E = \dim_{\mathrm{B}} \overline{E} = 1$. Hence, we easily get the following corollary which implies that $0 = \dim_{\mathrm{H}} E < \dim_{\mathrm{B}} E = 1$.

Corollary 1.4 *If $\lambda(\beta) > 0$, then $\dim_{\mathrm{B}} E = 1$.*

Let D be an *irregular set* which contains the points of $x \in (0,1]$ whose limit of $\frac{-\log_\beta |I_n(x)|}{n}$ does not exists, i.e.,

$$D = \{x \in (0,1] : \underline{D}(x) < \overline{D}(x)\}.$$

The set of irregular points is negligible from the measure-theoretical point of view [19]. For every $1 < \delta \leq 1 + \lambda(\beta)$, we have $E_\delta \subset D$, so $\dim_{\mathrm{H}} D \geq \dim_{\mathrm{H}} E_\delta = \frac{\lambda(\beta)+1-\delta}{\delta \cdot \lambda(\beta)} \to 1$ as $\delta \to 1$ (1.5) which implies that

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}} D = \dim_{\mathrm{P}} D = \dim_{\mathrm{B}} D = 1,$$

where $\dim_{\mathrm{P}}$ and $\dim_{\mathrm{B}}$ denote the packing and boxing dimension respectively, see [6] for more details. Thus, we obtain that the set D has full dimension if $\lambda(\beta) > 0$, i.e., the set D can be large from the viewpoint of dimension theory. The next result shows that D is large from a topological point of view as well which follows immediately from $E \subset D$.

Corollary 1.5 *Let $\beta > 1$ with $\lambda(\beta) > 0$, then D is residual, therefore D is of second category.*

In fact, there exist some irregular sets with zero measure, but residual, which indicates that such sets can be large in the topological sense. For example, the sets of some kinds of irregular points associated with integer expansion are shown to be residual [1, 9, 14]. Baek and Olsen [3] proved the set of extremely non-normal points of self-similar set is of residue. Madritsch [12] extended and generalized these results to Markov partitions. Also, Madritsch and Petrykiewicz[13] showed that the non-normal numbers in dynamical system fulfilling the specification property are residual. However, in the study of non-normal number, the frequencies of digits and blocks were investigated. In this paper, the upper density $\overline{D}(x)$ cannot be expressed as some frequencies, and thus is a new object of research.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we will recall some basic facts of β-expansions and fix some notation. For more properties of β-expansions see [5, 8, 16] and references therein.

The typical β-transformation is given by

$$T(x) := \beta x - \lfloor \beta x \rfloor, 0 \leq x < 1,$$

where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer which is less than or equal to x. The transformation T_β adopted in this paper in order to ensure that every $x \in (0, 1]$ has an infinite series expansion, i.e., $\epsilon_n(x, \beta) \neq 0$ for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This is because $T_\beta(x)$ is strictly larger than 0. As a mater of fact, β-expansions under the above two transformation coincide except at the points with a finite expansion under the algorithm T.

From the definition of T_β, it is clear that, for an integer $n \geq 1$, the n-th digit $\epsilon_n(x, \beta)$ of x belongs to the alphabet $\mathcal{A} = \{0, \ldots, \lceil \beta \rceil - 1\}$. What we should note here is that not all sequences $\epsilon \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ are the β-expansion of some $x \in (0, 1]$. This leads to the notation of *β-admissible sequence*.

A word $(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n)$ is said to be *admissible* with respect to the base β if there exists an $x \in (0, 1]$ such that the β-expansion of x satisfies $\epsilon_1(x, \beta) = \epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n(x, \beta) = \epsilon_n$. An infinite digit sequence $(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n, \ldots)$ is called admissible if there exists an $x \in (0, 1]$ has the β-expansion as $(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n, \ldots)$.

Let Σ_β^n denote the family of all β-admissible words with length n, i.e.,

$$\Sigma_\beta^n = \{(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n) \in \mathcal{A}^n : \exists\, x \in (0, 1], \text{such that } \epsilon_j(x, \beta) = \epsilon_j, \forall\ 1 \leq j \leq n\}.$$

Let Σ_β^* be the family of all β-admissible words with finite length, i.e.,

$$\Sigma_\beta^* = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \Sigma_\beta^n.$$

Let Σ_β be the family of all infinite β-admissible sequences, i.e.,

$$\Sigma_\beta = \{(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \ldots) \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}} : \exists\, x \in (0, 1], \text{such that } \epsilon_j(x, \beta) = \epsilon_j, \forall\ j \geq 1\}.$$

We endow the space $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with the *lexicographical order* $<_{\text{lex}}$ as follows:

$$(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots) <_{\text{lex}} (\varepsilon_1', \varepsilon_2', \ldots)$$

if there exists an integer $k \geq 1$ such that, for all $1 \leq j < k$, $\varepsilon_j = \varepsilon_j'$ but $\varepsilon_k < \varepsilon_k'$. The symbol $\leq_{\text{lex}}$ means $=$ or $<_{\text{lex}}$.

A characterization of the admissibility of a sequence which relies heavily on the β-expansion of 1 is given by Parry [16] as the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 (Parry[16]) *Fix $\beta > 1$, for every $n \geq 1$,*

$$(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n) \in \Sigma_\beta^n \Longleftrightarrow \sigma^i \omega \leq_{\text{lex}} (\epsilon_1^*, \ldots, \epsilon_{n-i}^*) \text{ for all } i \geq 1,$$

where σ is the shift operator such that $\sigma\omega = (\omega_2, \omega_3, \ldots)$.

Now we give a simple fact on the basic intervals, readers can refer to [7] for more details.

Lemma 2.2 *Let $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n) \in \Sigma_\beta^n$ with $n \geq 1$. We have $I(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n)$ is a left-open and right-closed interval with $\frac{\epsilon_1}{\beta} + \cdots + \frac{\epsilon_n}{\beta^n}$ as its left endpoint.*

The notation of full intervals is vital to give the estimation of $|I_n(x)|$ in this paper, now we give the definition and state some simple facts on the full intervals. A basic interval $I(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n)$ is said to be *full* if its length verifies

$$|I(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n)| = \beta^{-n}.$$

Fan and Wang [7] gave serval characterizations and properties of full intervals as follow.

Theorem 2.3 ([7]) *Let $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \ldots, \epsilon_n) \in \Sigma_\beta^n$ with $n \geq 1$.*
(1)The basic interval $I(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n)$ is a full interval if and only if for any $m \geq 1$ and any $\epsilon' = (\epsilon_1', \ldots, \epsilon_m') \in \Sigma_\beta^m$, the concatenation $\epsilon * \epsilon' = (\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n, \epsilon_1', \ldots, \epsilon_m')$ is admissible.
(2) If $(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_{n-1}, \epsilon_n')$ with $\epsilon_n' \neq 0$ is admissible, then $I(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_{n-1}, \epsilon_n)$ is full for any $0 \leq \epsilon_n < \epsilon_n'$.
(3) If $I(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n)$ is full, then for any $(\epsilon_1', \ldots, \epsilon_m') \in \Sigma_\beta^m$, we have

$$|I(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n, \epsilon_1', \ldots, \epsilon_m')| = |I(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n)| \cdot |I(\epsilon_1', \ldots, \epsilon_m')|.$$

(4)The basic intervals $I(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n, 0^{\Gamma_n+1})$ and $I(\epsilon_1^*, \ldots, \epsilon_n^*, 0^{t_n+1})$ are full, where $0^\ell = \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{\ell}$.

The following inequalities on the estimation of the lengths of basic intervals will be used which follow from Theorem 2.3(4)(see also [10]). For every admissible word $(\epsilon_1, ..., \epsilon_n)$, we have

$$\beta^{-(n+\Gamma_n+1)} \leq |I(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n)| \leq \beta^{-n}, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\beta^{-(n+t_n+1)} \leq |I(\epsilon_1^*, \ldots, \epsilon_n^*)| \leq \beta^{-(n+t_n)}. \tag{2.9}$$

Moreover, the following theorem in [7] gives a way to evaluate the length of an arbitrary basic interval $I(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n)$ by comparing the suffixes of $(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n)$ with the prefixes of β-expansion of the unit 1.

Theorem 2.4 ([7]) *Let $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n) \in \Sigma_\beta^n$ with $n \geq 1$. Let*

$$k_n^* = k_n^*(\epsilon) = \inf\{k \geq 0 : (\epsilon_{k+1}, \ldots, \epsilon_n) = (\epsilon_1^*, \ldots, \epsilon_{n-k}^*)\}.$$

Then the length of $I(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n)$ satisfies

$$|I(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n)| = \beta^{-k_n^*} |I(\epsilon_1^*, \ldots, \epsilon_{n-k_n^*}^*)|.$$

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We will prove Theorem 1.1 in this section and before doing that, we first give a lemma on the upper density.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $x \in (0,1]$. Then $\overline{D}(x) = 1 + \tau(x)$.*

Proof. On the one hand, by the definition of $k_n^*(x)$(1.6) and Theorem 2.4, we have

$$|I_n(x)| = \beta^{-k_n^*(x)} |I(\epsilon_1^*, \ldots, \epsilon_{n-k_n^*(x)}^*)|. \tag{3.10}$$

It immediately follows from Theorem 2.3(4) that

$$|I(\epsilon_1^*, \ldots, \epsilon_{n-k_n^*(x)}^*)| \geq |I\big(\epsilon_1^*, \ldots, \epsilon_{n-k_n^*(x)}^*, 0^{t_{n-k_n^*(x)+1}}\big)| = \beta^{-\left(n-k_n^*(x)+t_{n-k_n^*(x)+1}\right)}. \tag{3.11}$$

Combining (3.10) and (3.11), we get

$$\overline{D}(x) \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{n + t_{n-k_n^*(x)} + 1}{n} = 1 + \tau(x). \tag{3.12}$$

One the other hand, we need to find a sequence $\{n_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ satisfying $\overline{D}(x) = 1 + \tau(x)$. In fact, by the definition of $\tau(x)$, we can find a sequence $\{n_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ such that $\tau(x) = \lim\limits_{i\to\infty} \frac{t_{n_i - k_{n_i}^*(x)}}{n_i}$. In addition, (2.9) gives that

$$\beta^{-\left(n_i - k_{n_i}^*(x) + t_{n_i - k_{n_i}^*(x)+1}\right)} \leq |I(\epsilon_1^*, \ldots \epsilon_{n-k_n^*(x)}^*)| \leq \beta^{-\left(n_i - k_{n_i}^*(x) + t_{n_i - k_{n_i}^*(x)}\right)}. \tag{3.13}$$

Consequently, applying (3.10) and (3.13), we deduce that

$$\frac{n_i + t_{n_i - k_{n_i}^*(x)}}{n_i} \leq \frac{-\log_\beta |I_{n_i}(x)|}{n_i} \leq \frac{n_i + t_{n_i - k_{n_i}^*(x)} + 1}{n_i},$$

that is,

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{-\log_\beta |I_{n_i}(x)|}{n_i} = 1 + \tau(x). \tag{3.14}$$

Combination of (3.12) and (3.14) gives the desired result. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1 We divide the proof into two cases by showing that $A(D(x)) = \{1\}$ when $\tau(x) = 0$ and $A(D(x)) = [1, 1+\tau(x)]$ when $\tau(x) > 0$.

Case I: $\tau(x) = 0$. Note that $\underline{D}(x) = 1$ by (1.4) and $\overline{D}(x) = 1 + \tau(x)$ by Lemma 3.1, then $\underline{D}(x) = \overline{D}(x) = 1$. So $A(D(x)) = \{1\}$.

Case II: $\tau(x) > 0$. Since $\underline{D}(x) = 1$ and $\overline{D}(x) = 1 + \tau(x)$, for any $1 < a < 1 + \tau(x)$, we can choose an increasing sequence $\{n_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ tending to ∞ as $k \to \infty$ such that

$$\frac{-\log_\beta |I_{n_k+1}(x)|}{n_k + 1} \leq a \leq \frac{-\log_\beta |I_{n_k}(x)|}{n_k}.$$

Noting that $|I_{n_k}(x)| \geq |I_{n_k+1}(x)|$, we know that

$$\frac{-\log_\beta |I_{n_k}(x)|}{n_k} \leq \frac{-\log_\beta |I_{n_k+1}(x)|}{n_k} = \frac{-\log_\beta |I_{n_k+1}(x)|}{n_k+1} \cdot \frac{n_k+1}{n_k}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{-\log_\beta |I_{n_k+1}(x)|}{n_k+1} \leq a \leq \frac{-\log_\beta |I_{n_k+1}(x)|}{n_k+1} \cdot \frac{n_k+1}{n_k},$$

which implies $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty} \frac{-\log_\beta |I_{n_k+1}(x)|}{n_k+1} = a$. Thus $[1, 1+\tau(x)] \subset A(D(x))$.

Moreover, $\underline{D}(x) = 1$ and $\overline{D}(x) = 1+\tau(x)$ indicate that $A(D(x)) \subset [1, 1+\tau(x)]$.

Therefore, $A(D(x)) = [1, 1+\tau(x)]$. □

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

To prove Theorem 1.2, we first introduce some notation in symbolic space.

For $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, ..., \epsilon_n) \in \mathcal{A}^n$ and a positive integer m with $m \leq n$, or for $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, ..., \epsilon_n, ...) \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and a positive integer m, let $\epsilon|_m = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, ..., \epsilon_m)$.

Recall that $(\epsilon_1^*, \ldots, \epsilon_n^*, \ldots)$ is the β-expansion of 1 and t_n is defined as (1.2), we give a property of the full intervals as the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1 *Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. If $I(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n)$ is full, we have*

$$\overline{I(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n, \epsilon_1^*, \ldots, \epsilon_k^*, 0^{t_k+1})} \subset \mathrm{int}(I(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n))$$

where $\mathrm{int}(I(\omega))$ *denotes the interior of* $I(\omega) \subset [0,1]$.

Proof. If $I(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n)$ is full, we get that $(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n)$ can concatenate any admissible word by Theorem 2.3(1). By the definition of t_n, we have $(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n, \epsilon_1^*, \ldots, \epsilon_k^*, 0^{t_k+1}) \in \Sigma_\beta^*$. Now we only need to show that the left and right endpoints of $I(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n, \epsilon_1^*, \ldots, \epsilon_k^*, 0^{t_k+1})$ lie in $\mathrm{int}(I(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n))$ respectively.

Case I: Since $\epsilon_1^* = \lceil \beta \rceil - 1 \geq 1$, we have

$$\frac{\epsilon_1}{\beta} + \cdots + \frac{\epsilon_n}{\beta^n} + \frac{\epsilon_1^*}{\beta^{n+1}} + \cdots + \frac{\epsilon_k^*}{\beta^{n+k}} > \frac{\epsilon_1}{\beta} + \cdots + \frac{\epsilon_n}{\beta^n}.$$

This inequality and $I(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n, \epsilon_1^*, \ldots, \epsilon_k^*, 0^{t_k+1}) \subset I(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n)$ imply that the left endpoint of $I(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n, \epsilon_1^*, \ldots, \epsilon_k^*, 0^{t_k+1})$ belongs to $\mathrm{int}(I(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n))$ by Lemma 2.2.

Case II: By the definition of t_k, we have $(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n, \epsilon_1^*, \ldots, \epsilon_k^*, 0^{t_k}, 1)$ is admissible. Besides, the fullness of $I(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n)$ ensures that $(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n, \epsilon_1^*, \ldots, \epsilon_k^*, 0^{t_k}, 1) \in \Sigma_\beta^*$ by Theorem 2.3(1). The same argument as Case I gives that the left endpoint of $I(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n, \epsilon_1^*, \ldots, \epsilon_k^*, 0^{t_k}, 1)$ belongs to

$\mathrm{int}(I(\epsilon_1,\ldots,\epsilon_n))$, that is,

$$x_0 := \frac{\epsilon_1}{\beta}+\cdots+\frac{\epsilon_n}{\beta^n}+\frac{\epsilon_1^*}{\beta^{n+1}}+\cdots+\frac{\epsilon_k^*}{\beta^{n+k}}+\frac{1}{\beta^{n+k+t_k+1}} \in \mathrm{int}(I(\epsilon_1,\ldots,\epsilon_n)).$$

Now we prove that x_0 is the right endpoint of $I(\epsilon_1,\ldots,\epsilon_n,\epsilon_1^*,\ldots,\epsilon_k^*,0^{t_k+1})$. As a matter of fact, for every $x \in I(\epsilon_1,\ldots,\epsilon_n,\epsilon_1^*,\ldots,\epsilon_k^*,0^{t_k+1})$, we easily get that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \tfrac{\epsilon_1}{\beta}+\cdots+\tfrac{\epsilon_n}{\beta^n}+\tfrac{\epsilon_1^*}{\beta^{n+1}}+\cdots+\tfrac{\epsilon_k^*}{\beta^{n+k}}+\tfrac{\epsilon_{n+k+t_k+2}(x,\beta)}{\beta^{n+k+t_k+2}}+\cdots \\ &\le \tfrac{\epsilon_1}{\beta}+\cdots+\tfrac{\epsilon_n}{\beta^n}+\tfrac{\epsilon_1^*}{\beta^{n+1}}+\cdots+\tfrac{\epsilon_k^*}{\beta^{n+k}}+\tfrac{1}{\beta^{n+k+t_k+1}} = x_0, \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, recall that $1 = \frac{\epsilon_1^*}{\beta}+\frac{\epsilon_2^*}{\beta^2}+\cdots$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &= \tfrac{\epsilon_1}{\beta}+\cdots+\tfrac{\epsilon_n}{\beta^n}+\tfrac{\epsilon_1^*}{\beta^{n+1}}+\cdots+\tfrac{\epsilon_k^*}{\beta^{n+k}}+\tfrac{1}{\beta^{n+k+t_k+1}} \\ &= \tfrac{\epsilon_1}{\beta}+\cdots+\tfrac{\epsilon_n}{\beta^n}+\tfrac{\epsilon_1^*}{\beta^{n+1}}+\cdots+\tfrac{\epsilon_k^*}{\beta^{n+k}}+\tfrac{1}{\beta^{n+k+t_k+1}}(\tfrac{\epsilon_1^*}{\beta}+\cdots+\tfrac{\epsilon_n^*}{\beta^n}+\cdots) \\ &= \tfrac{\epsilon_1}{\beta}+\cdots+\tfrac{\epsilon_n}{\beta^n}+\tfrac{\epsilon_1^*}{\beta^{n+1}}+\cdots+\tfrac{\epsilon_k^*}{\beta^{n+k}}+\tfrac{\epsilon_1^*}{\beta^{n+k+t_k+2}}+\tfrac{\epsilon_2^*}{\beta^{n+k+t_k+3}}+\cdots \\ &\in I(\epsilon_1,\ldots,\epsilon_n,\epsilon_1^*,\ldots,\epsilon_k^*,0^{t_k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

The last relationship follows from the criterion of admissibility (Theorem 2.1), so x_0 is the right endpoint of the basic interval $I(\epsilon_1,\ldots,\epsilon_n,\epsilon_1^*,\ldots,\epsilon_k^*,0^{t_k+1})$ and it belongs to $\mathrm{int}(I(\epsilon_1,\ldots,\epsilon_n))$. □

The notion of residual set is usually used to describe a set being large in the topological sense. Recall that in a metric space X, a set R is said to be *residual* if its complement is of the first category. Moreover, in a complete metric space a set is residual if it contains a dense G_δ set, see [15]. Hence, in order to prove Theorem1.2, it suffices to construct a set $U \subset [0,1]$ verifying the following three conditions:

(1) $U \subset E$;

(2) U is dense in $[0,1]$;

(3) U is a G_δ set.

Now we devote to constructing a set U with the desired properties. From [7], we know that $\lambda(\beta)$ can also be written as

$$\lambda(\beta) = \limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{t_n}{n},$$

where t_n is defined as (1.2). For each $k \ge 1$, recall that Σ_β^k is the set of all admissible words of length k. Define

$$U := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty}\bigcup_{(\epsilon_1,\ldots,\epsilon_k)\in\Sigma_\beta^k}\mathrm{int}\left(\mathrm{I}(\epsilon_1,\ldots,\epsilon_{\mathrm{k}},0^{\Gamma_{\mathrm{k}}+1},\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_{\mathrm{k}})\right),$$

where

$$\omega_k = (\epsilon_1^*,\ldots,\epsilon_{m_k}^*,0^{t_{m_k}+1}), \tag{4.15}$$

and m_k is chosen to be a fast increasing sequence such that

$$\lambda(\beta) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{t_{m_k}}{m_k}, \qquad k + \Gamma_k + 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1}(m_j + t_{m_j} + 1) \ll m_k. \tag{4.16}$$

We can see that U is well defined. This is because, for any $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, ..., \epsilon_k) \in \Sigma_\beta^k$, the interval $I(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, ..., \epsilon_k, 0^{\Gamma_k+1})$ is full by Theorem 2.3(4) and it follows from Theorem 2.3(1) that $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, ..., \epsilon_k, 0^{\Gamma_k+1})$ can concatenate any β-admissible word. Analogously, $I(\omega_k)$ is full by Theorem 2.3(4) and ω_k can concatenate any admissible word by Theorem 2.3(1) for each $k \geq 1$.

Clearly, U is a G_δ set since $\mathrm{int}(I(\epsilon))$ is open. Next we will show that U is a subset of E and is dense in $[0, 1]$.

Lemma 4.2 *U is dense in $[0, 1]$.*

Proof. Given $x \in [0, 1]$ and $r > 0$, we only need to find $y \in U$ such that $|x - y| \leq r$. Let the β-expansion of x be $\epsilon(x, \beta) = (\epsilon_1(x), \epsilon_2(x), ...)$, specially, if $x = 0$, let $\epsilon(x, \beta) = (0, 0, \ldots, 0, \ldots)$. Clearly there exists $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\beta^{-\ell} \leq r$.

Let ω_k be defined as (4.15). Take

$$\begin{aligned}
u_1 &= (\epsilon_1(x), ..., \epsilon_\ell(x), 0^{\Gamma_\ell+1}, \omega_1, ..., \omega_\ell) \in \Sigma_\beta^{\ell_1}, \text{where } \ell_1 = \ell + \Gamma_\ell + 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell}(m_j + t_{m_j} + 1),\\
u_2 &= (u_1, 0^{\Gamma_{\ell_1}+1}, \omega_1, ..., \omega_{\ell_1}) \in \Sigma_\beta^{\ell_2}, \text{where } \ell_2 = \ell_1 + \Gamma_{\ell_1} + 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell_1}(m_j + t_{m_j} + 1),\\
&\vdots\\
u_k &= (u_{k-1}, 0^{\Gamma_{\ell_{k-1}}+1}, \omega_1, ..., \omega_{\ell_{k-1}}) \in \Sigma_\beta^{\ell_k}, \text{where } \ell_k = \ell_{k-1} + \Gamma_{\ell_{k-1}} + 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell_{k-1}}(m_j + t_{m_j} + 1),\\
&\vdots
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$S := \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{int}(I(u_k)).$$

For each $k \geq 1$, we have

$$\mathrm{int}(I(u_{k+1})) \subset \overline{I(u_{k+1})} \subset \mathrm{int}\left(I(u_k, 0^{\Gamma_{\ell_k}+1}, \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{\ell_k-1})\right) \subset I(u_k) \subset \overline{I(u_k)},$$

where the second inclusion relation follows from Lemma 4.1. Thus, it follows that

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{int}(I(u_k)) = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \overline{I(u_k)}.$$

Note that $\overline{I(u_{k+1})} \subset \overline{I(u_k)}$, it is obvious that $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \overline{I(u_k)}$ is nonempty. The intersection $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{int}(I(u_k))$

is therefore nonempty which gives the fact that $S \neq \emptyset$. For every $y \in S$, we get $y \in U$ by the construction of U and S. Moreover, we have

$$|x - y| \leq \beta^{-\ell} \leq r$$

since both the β-expansions of x and y begin with $\epsilon_1(x), ..., \epsilon_\ell(x)$. Therefore, U is dense in $[0, 1]$. □

Lemma 4.3 *$U \subset E$.*

Proof. For every $x \in U$, we only need to prove that there exists a sequence $\{n_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{-\log_\beta |I_{n_k}|}{n_k} = 1 + \lambda(\beta).$$

Then it follows that $\overline{D}(x) = 1 + \lambda(\beta)$ since $\overline{D}(x) \leq 1 + \lambda(\beta)$. As a consequence, $U \subset E$.

In fact, for all $x \in U$, by the construction of U, there exist infinitely many k, such that the β-expansion of x starts with $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_k, 0^{\Gamma_k+1}, \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_k$, where $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_k \in \Sigma_\beta^k$ and ω_i is defined as (4.15) for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let $n_k = k + \Gamma_k + 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1}(m_j + t_{m_j} + 1) + m_k$, for convenience, we denote n_k as $n_k = h_k + m_k$ where $h_k = k + \Gamma_k + 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1}(m_j + t_{m_j} + 1) \ll m_k$ by (4.16). A simple observation on $I_n(x)$: if $n = n_k + t_{m_k} + 1$, by the construction of U, we have

$$I_n(x) = I(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_{h_k}, \epsilon_1^*, \ldots, \epsilon_{m_k}^*, 0^{t_{m_k}+1}), \tag{4.17}$$

and $I_n(x)$ is full by Theorem 2.3(4).

Now we estimate the length of $I_n(x)$ when $n = n_k$. By the definition of t_n and the criterion of admissibility, it follows that

$$I(\epsilon_1^*, \ldots, \epsilon_{m_k}^*) = I(\epsilon_1^*, \ldots, \epsilon_{m_k}^*, 0^{t_{m_k}}),$$

so $I_{n_k}(x) = I(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_{h_k}, \epsilon_1^*, \ldots, \epsilon_{m_k}^*, 0^{t_{m_k}})$ by (4.17).

Hence, we have $I_{n_k}(x) = I_{n_k+t_{m_k}}(x)$. Since $I_{n_k+t_{m_k}+1}(x)$ is full, we have

$$\beta^{-(n_k+t_{m_k}+1)} \leq |I_{n_k}(x)| = |I_{n_k+t_{m_k}}(x)| \leq \beta^{-(n_k+t_{m_k})}.$$

By (4.16), it immediately follows that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{-\log_\beta |I_{n_k}|}{n_k} = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{n_k + t_{m_k}}{n_k} = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{h_k + m_k + t_{m_k}}{h_k + m_k} = 1 + \lambda(\beta).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.2 Since U is dense in $[0,1]$ and it is a G_δ set, we easily get that U is residual in $[0,1]$ by Baire Category Theorem. Moreover, Lemma 4.3 ensures that E is residual in $[0,1]$.

□

Acknowledgement The authors are grateful to Lingmin Liao for giving useful suggestion. This work was supported by NSFC 11371148 and 11411130372, Guangdong Natural Science Foundation 2014A030313230, and "Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities" SCUT 2015ZZ055.

References

[1] S. Albeverio, M. Pratsiovytyi and G. Torbin, *Topological and fractal properties of subsets of real numbers which are not normal*, Bull. Sci. Math. 129 (2005), no. 8, 615-630.

[2] J.-C. Ban and B. Li, *The multifractal spectra for the recurrence rates of beta-transformations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 420 (2014), no. 2, 1662-1679.

[3] I. S. Baek and L. Olsen, *Baire category and extremely non-normal points of invariant sets of IFS's*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 27 (2010), no. 3, 935-943.

[4] Y. Bugeaud and B.-W. Wang, *Distribution of full cylinders and the Diophantine properties of the orbits in β-expansions*, J. Fractal Geom. 1 (2014), no. 2, 221-241.

[5] G. Brown and Q. Yin, *β-expansions and frequency of zero*, Acta Math. Hungar. 84 (1999), no. 4, 275-291.

[6] K. J. Falconer, *Fractal Geometry: Mathematical Foundations and Applications*, John Wiley and Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1990.

[7] A.-H. Fan and B.-W. Wang, *On the lengths of basic intervals in beta expansions*, Nonlinearity 25 (2012), no. 5, 1329-1343.

[8] F. Hofbauer, *β-shifts have unique maximal measure*, Monatsh. Math. 85 (1978), no. 3, 189-198.

[9] J. Hyde, V. Laschos, L. Olsen, I. Petrykiewicz and A. Shaw, *Iterated Cesàro averages, frequencies of digits and Baire category*, Acta Arith. 144 (2010), no. 3, 287-293.

[10] B. Li and J. Wu, *Beta-expansion and continued fraction expansion*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 339 (2008), no. 2, 1322-1331.

[11] B. Li, T. Persson, B.-W. Wang and J. Wu, *Diophantine approximation of the orbit of 1 in the dynamical system of beta expansions*, Math. Z. 276 (2014), no. 3-4, 799-827.

[12] M. G. Madritsch, *Non-normal numbers with respect to Markov partitions*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 34 (2014), no. 2, 663-676.

[13] M. G. Madritsch and I. Petrykiewicz, *Non-normal numbers in dynamical systems fulfilling the specification property*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 34 (2014), no. 11, 4751-4764.

[14] L. Olsen, *Extremely non-normal numbers*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 137 (2004), no. 1, 43-53.

[15] J. C. Oxtoby, *Measure and Category*, Springer, New York, 1996.

[16] W. Parry, *On the β-expansions of real numbers*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar, 11 (1960), 401-416.

[17] W. Parry, *Entropy and generators in Ergodic Theory*, Benjamin, New York, 1969.

[18] A. Rényi, *Representations for real numbers and their ergodic properties*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 8 (1957), 477-93.

[19] D. Thompson, *Irregular sets, the β-transformation and the almost specification property*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 364 (2012), no. 10, 5395-5414.